



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/886,432     | 06/21/2001         | Stephen L. Clark     | 4524A                   | 8116             |
| 23466 7.       | 590 06/21/2004     |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| FCI USA INC    |                    |                      | VU, HIEN D              |                  |
|                | AL PROPERTY LAW DE | EPARTMENT            | APTIBIT                 | DADED NUMBER     |
| 825 OLD TRA    | IL ROAD            |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ETTERS, PA     | 17319              |                      | 2833                    |                  |
|                | •                  |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 3.  |  |  | pN   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | 09/886,432   | CLARK ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | Hien D. Vu   | 2833   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add   | ress   |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | void abandonment of this application in the second point of the second point with the se | cation. A proper re-<br>ich places the appli   | ply to a<br>cation in  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE control which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in  | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extens the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   | -  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (   | (see NOTE below);  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or   | in better form for appeal by mat   | terially reducing or   | simplifying the  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:   | ing a corresponding number of  | finally rejected claim   | ms.  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | tion(s):   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a s   | separate, timely file  | d amendment  |  |  |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se  |  | sidered but does No  | OT place the   |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | cause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which we   | ere newly  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w  |  | ,  | and an   |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app  | proved or b) disapproved by  | the Examiner.  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme   | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  | •  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |  | 1/1  | <i>i</i> 1   |  |  |

HIEN VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: arguments regarding the features such as three open sides, three closed sides, heat dissipation openigs recited in the claims are confusing and unclear as to what they are referred to, and therefore they are not persuasive.